

Research Update:

Park Hill School District (Platte County), MO GO Debt Rating Lowered To 'AA-' From 'AA' On Escalating **Debt Service Cost**

September 9, 2025

Overview

- S&P Global Ratings lowered its underlying rating on Park Hill School District (Platte County), Mo.'s debt outstanding to 'AA-' from 'AA', and affirmed its 'AA+' long-term (base on credit enhancement) rating on the debt.
- At the same time, we assigned our 'AA+' long-term (based on credit enhancement) rating and 'AA-' underlying rating to the district's \$128 million series 2025 general obligation (GO) bonds,
- The outlook is stable.
- The downgrade reflects our view of the district's escalating debt service costs, which are contributing to a slow amortization schedule and an overall weakening of the debt and liabilities profile.
- The rating is based on the application of our "Methodology For Rating U.S. Governments," Sept. 9, 2024.

Rationale

Security

The series 2025 GO bonds are secured by an unlimited ad valorem tax on all taxable property within the district. Proceeds from the series 2025 bonds will be used to fund Phase I of the Park Hill High School replacement, including relocation of the stadium and baseball field. The 2025 bond proceeds will also be used to finance athletic facility upgrades at Park Hill South High School, classroom additions at three elementary schools, districtwide safety and security enhancements, and strategic land acquisition to support future growth.

The long-term rating reflects our view of the district's eligibility for, and participation in, the Missouri Direct Deposit of State Aid Program. The state credit enhancement rating is one notch

Primary Contact

John Schulz

Englewood 1-303-721-4385 iohn.schulz @spglobal.com

Secondary Contact

Rebecca Y Roman

Chicago 1-708-219-0455 rebecca.roman @spglobal.com below the Missouri issuer credit rating (AAA/Stable) given state aid appropriation risk partially mitigated by consistent state support, execution of the direct deposit agreement, and maximum annual debt service coverage that we expect will remain strong at 1.7x. For more information, see "Missouri Credit Enhancement Programs," July 10, 2024.

Credit highlights

Park Hill School District's doubling its debt burden over the last two years when including the issuance of the series 2025 bonds, coupled with the backloaded debt schedule combined with lower reserve levels as a percentage of revenues relative to higher rated peers supports the downgrade to 'AA-'. The series 2025 GO bonds are significantly backloaded in structure, which has elevated the net direct debt per capita and slowed the district's amortization schedule. Furthermore, elevated net pension liabilities per capita and a high discount rate that could increase future liabilities ultimately weakens our view of the district's debt and liabilities profile.

The district is located in the northwest portion of Kansas City, Missouri, and stretches across 73.5 square miles. Following two years of essentially break-even results, fiscal 2024 (year-end June 30) ended with a \$4.4 million surplus in the combined general fund (general and special revenue funds) fueled by an increase in property tax collections, higher-than-expected state and federal reimbursements (including Medicaid and food service), and conservative expenditure management. The surplus was part of the district's plan to build up operating and nonrestricted capital fund balances in preparation for the opening of the Angeline Washington Elementary School, and to supplement bond projects with capital fund dollars that will be used to expand the overall scope of improvements. The district is anticipating another surplus in fiscal 2025 (yearend June 30) of approximately \$3.1 million in the combined general fund. This planned surplus further reflects the district's strategy to strengthen reserves to support the opening of the new elementary school, and to sustain higher employee compensation packages following the passage of Proposition G in April 2025.

Park Hill School District's enrollment, which is a key factor in Missouri public school finance, has declined marginally in recent years, spurred by declining birth rates both nationally and within Platte County. This comes after a decade of strong enrollment growth. However, the district projects stabilization to modest growth in its enrollment numbers starting in fiscal 2026, which is supported by planned residential development within the district's boundaries. Park Hill School District remains as the primary kindergarten to grade 12 education provider in its attendance area, mitigating enrollment pressures from charter and private schools. The district's year-end available fund balance in fiscal 2024 was approximately 19% of expenditures, which is in line with the district's informal reserve policy and targets 18%-22% of expenditures. Park Hill School District's fiscal 2026 budget includes a planned, but modest, drawdown of reserves to support ongoing operating costs and higher employee compensation levels, but the district expects reserves to remain in line with its target. District officials noted the potential for future budgetary pressures related to recruiting and retaining qualified staff, which requires sustaining higher compensation levels and ensuring salary and benefit structures remain attractive in a competitive labor environment. Further budgetary pressures stem from the opening of the district's Angeline Washington Elementary School in August 2025, which will add permanent staffing and operational costs as well as programmatic demands. The district also faces pressure to address aging facilities more proactively, beyond what can be accomplished by the issuance of the series 2025 GO bonds.

The 'AA-' underlying rating reflects our view of Park Hill School District's:

- Per capita gross county product and per capita county personal incomes that are 102.0% and 105.7% of U.S. levels, respectively. The district's economic indicators are slightly higher than national medians. Residents have access to the Kansas City metropolitan statistical area, with a large residential presence and access to all transportation systems.
- Financial performance, which has been stable in recent years and is highlighted by three consecutive fiscal surpluses, with a surplus result anticipated in fiscal 2025.
- Cash-based reserves, which have fluctuated slightly over the past three years, and of which the district anticipates a spend down in fiscal 2026. However, it continues to adhere to its informal fund balance target of 18%-22% of expenditures.
- Forward looking management practices include the preparation of a monthly treasurer's report that provides a budget-to-actual comparison. The district engages in formal long-term financial and capital planning to address future needs. It also maintains a formal investment policy and an informal reserve policy but does not maintain a debt management policy. The district takes measures to mitigate cybersecurity risks.
- Debt and liabilities profile that is weakening, with the recent borrowings pressuring net direct debt per capita The district has no additional new-money debt plans until about 2030, when it will embark on phase two of its 2034 vision. Park Hill School District's net pension liabilities per capita are elevated, with a high discount rate of 7.3%, above our recommended guideline of 6.5% that could increase future liabilities.
- For more information on our institutional framework assessment for Missouri schools, see "Institutional Framework Assessment: Missouri Local Governments," Sept. 10, 2024.

Environmental, social, and governance

We view environmental, social, and governance factors as neutral within our credit rating analysis.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our expectation that the district will maintain an adequate cash reserve consistent with its fund balance target, despite budgeted capital spenddowns, during the two-year outlook horizon.

Downside scenario

Should Park Hill School District's worsen materially with budget deficits or if the cash reserve experiences a sustained decline below it's reserve target over consecutive years, we could lower the underlying rating.

Upside scenario

We could raise the rating if the district builds its reserves to significantly higher levels while exhibiting continued improved tax base growth, and if its debt profile moderates to levels we view as commensurate with those of higher-rated peers.

Platte County School District (Park Hill), Missouri--credit summary

Institutional framework (IF)	3
Individual credit profile (ICP)	2.21

Platte County School District (Park Hill), Missouri--credit summary

Economy	1.5
Financial performance	2
Reserves and liquidity	2
Management	1.30
Debt and liabilities	4.25

Platte County School District (Park Hill), Missouri--key credit metrics

County PCPI % of U.S. 106 - 106 104 Market value (\$000s) 10,460,864 10,460,864 9,530,232 9,241,547 Market value per capita (\$) 131,995 131,995 121,095 121,050 Top 10 taxpayers % of taxable value 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.9 County unemployment rate (%) 3.4 3.2 2.7 2.3 Local median household EBI % of U.S. 111 111 111 119 116 Local per capita EBI % of U.S. 112 112 119 121 Local population 79,252 79,252 77,734 76,345 Financial performance 3 199,351 195,005 175,208 Operating fund expenditures (\$000s)		Most recent	2024	2023	2022
County PCPI % of U.S. 106 — 106 104 Market value (\$000s) 10,460,864 10,460,864 9,530,232 9,241,547 Market value per capita (\$) 131,995 131,995 121,095 120,601 120,050 Top 10 taxpayers % of taxable value 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.9 — County unemployment rate (%) 3.4 3.2 2.7 2.3 Local median household EBI % of U.S. 111 111 111 119 119 121 Local per capita EBI % of U.S. 112 112 119 121 Local population 79,252 79,252 77,734 76,345 — 198,351 195,005 175,208 — 189,499 187,706 189,831 (5,028) (5,028) Operating fund revenues (\$000s) — 189,491 195,005 175,708 (5,028) (5,028) (5,028) (5,028) (5,028) (5,028) (5,028) (5,028)	Economy				
Market value (\$000s) 10,460,864 10,460,864 9,50,232 9,241,547 Market value per capita (\$) 131,995 131,995 122,601 120,000 Top 10 taxpayers % of taxable value 6.0 6.0 6.9 County unemployment rate (%) 3.4 3.2 2.7 2.3 Local median household EBI % of U.S. 111 111 119 116 Local per capita EBI % of U.S. 112 112 119 121 Local per capita EBI % of U.S. 112 112 119 121 Local per publish (\$0 U.S.) 112 112 119 121 Local per publish (\$0 U.S.) 12 19 121 121 119 121 121 121 121 121 121 121 121 121 121 121 121 121 121 121 121 121 121 121 121 121 121 121 121 121 121 121 122 122 123 124	Real GCP per capita % of U.S.	102		102	103
Market value per capita (\$) 131,995 131,995 122,601 122,601 Top 10 taxpayers % of taxable value 6.0 6.0 6.9 — County unemployment rate (%) 3.4 3.2 2.7 2.3 Local median household EBI % of U.S. 111 111 119 116 Local per capita EBI % of U.S. 112 112 119 121 Local population 79,252 79,252 77,734 76,345 Financial per formance Operating fund revenues (\$000s) — 198,351 195,005 175,208 Operating fund expenditures (\$000s) — 198,351 195,005 175,208 Operating fund expenditures (\$000s) — 198,351 195,005 175,208 Operating fund expenditures (\$000s) — 198,351 195,005 175,208 Operating result (\$000s) — 4,397 1,801 351 Operating result (\$000s) — 4,397 1,801 361 Operating result (\$000s) — 1	County PCPI % of U.S.	106		106	104
Top 10 taxpaqvers % of taxable value 6.0 6.0 6.9	Market value (\$000s)	10,460,864	10,460,864	9,530,232	9,241,547
County unemployment rate (%) 3.4 3.2 2.7 2.3 Local median household EBI % of U.S. 111 111 119 16 Local per capita EBI % of U.S. 112 112 119 121 Local population 79,252 79,252 77,734 76,345 Financial performance Operating fund revenues (\$000s) 198,351 195,005 175,208 Operating fund expenditures (\$000s) 189,190 187,706 169,831 Net transfers and other adjustments (\$000s) (4,764) (5,488) (5,026) Operating result (\$000s) (1,757) (5,18) (5,026) Operating result (\$000s) (1,757) (1,70) (1,70) Available reserves (\$000s) (1	Market value per capita (\$)	131,995	131,995	122,601	121,050
Local median household EBI % of U.S. 111 111 119 16 Local per capita EBI % of U.S. 112 112 119 121 Local population 79,252 79,252 77,734 76,345 Financial performance Operating fund revenues (\$000s) 198,351 195,005 175,208 Operating fund expenditures (\$000s) 189,190 187,706 169,831 Net transfers and other adjustments (\$000s) (4,764) (5,498) (5,026) Operating result (\$000s) (1,176) (1,164) (1,164) (1,164) (1,164) (1,164)	Top 10 taxpayers % of taxable value	6.0	6.0	6.9	
Local per capita EBI % of U.S. 112 112 119 121 Local population 79,252 79,252 77,734 76,345 Financial performance Financial performance Operating fund revenues (\$000s) 198,351 195,005 175,208 Operating fund expenditures (\$000s) 189,190 187,706 169,831 Net transfers and other adjustments (\$000s) (4,764) (5,498) (5,026) Operating result (\$000s) 4,397 1,801 351 Operating result (\$000s) 4,397 1,801 351 Operating result three-year average % 11,573 11,645 11,695 Reserves and liquidity 11,573 11,645 11,695 Reserves and liquidity 18,2 17,2 19,0 Available reserves (\$000s) 18,2 17,2 19,0 Available reserves (\$000s) 5,9 8,2 8,2 Debt and liabilities <t< td=""><td>County unemployment rate (%)</td><td>3.4</td><td>3.2</td><td>2.7</td><td>2.3</td></t<>	County unemployment rate (%)	3.4	3.2	2.7	2.3
Local population 79,252 79,252 77,734 76,345 Financial performance Poperating fund revenues (\$000s)	Local median household EBI % of U.S.	111	111	119	116
Page Page	Local per capita EBI % of U.S.	112	112	119	121
Operating fund revenues (\$000s)	Local population	79,252	79,252	77,734	76,345
Operating fund expenditures (\$000s) 189,190 187,706 169,831 Net transfers and other adjustments (\$000s) (4,764) (5,498) (5,026) Operating result (\$000s) (2,2 0.9 0.2 Operating result (\$1,000s) (1,573) (1,645) (1,695) Reserves and liquidity (1,573) (1,645) (1,695) Reserves (\$000s) (1,625) (1,695) (1,695) Pobt and liabilities (1,606) (1,695) (1,695) (1,695) Net direct debt (\$000s) (5,996) (8,206) (1,695) (1,695) (1,695) (1,695) (1,695) (1,695) (1,695)	Financial performance				
Net transfers and other adjustments (\$000s) (4,764) (5,498) (5,026) Operating result (\$000s) 4,397 1,801 351 Operating result % of revenues 2.2 0.9 0.2 Operating result three-year average % 11,573 11,645 11,695 Reserves and liquidity Available reserves % of operating revenues 18.2 17.2 19.0 Available reserves (\$000s) 36,063 33,615 33,239 Debt and liabilities Debt service cost % of revenues 5.9 8.2 8.2 Net direct debt (\$000s) 382,281 185,024 190,100 142,800 Direct debt (\$000s) 382,281 185,024 190,100 142,800 Direct debt 10-year amortization (%) 26 50 Pension and OPEB cost % of revenues 6.0 7.0 7.0 NPLs per capita (\$) 1,757 1,791 1,669	Operating fund revenues (\$000s)		198,351	195,005	175,208
Operating result (\$000s) 4,397 1,801 351 Operating result % of revenues 2.2 0.9 0.2 Operating result three-year average % 1.1 0.6 (0.1) Enrollment 11,573 11,645 11,695 Reserves and liquidity Available reserves % of operating revenues 18.2 17.2 19.0 Available reserves (\$000s) 36,063 33,615 33,239 Debt and liabilities Debt service cost % of revenues 5.9 8.2 8.2 Net direct debt per capita (\$) 4,824 2,335 2,446 1,870 Net direct debt (\$000s) 382,281 185,024 190,100 142,800 Direct debt 10-year amortization (%) 26 50 Pension and OPEB cost % of revenues 6.0 7.0 7.0 NPLs per capita (\$) 1,757 1,791 1,669	Operating fund expenditures (\$000s)		189,190	187,706	169,831
Operating result % of revenues 2.2 0.9 0.2 Operating result three-year average % 1.1 0.6 (0.1) Enrollment 11,573 11,645 11,695 Reserves and liquidity 18.2 17.2 19.0 Available reserves % of operating revenues 36,063 33,615 33,239 Debt and liabilities 5.9 8.2 8.2 Net direct debt per capita (\$) 4,824 2,335 2,446 1,870 Net direct debt (\$000s) 382,281 185,024 190,100 142,800 Direct debt 10-year amortization (%) 26 50 Pension and OPEB cost % of revenues 6.0 7.0 7.0 NPLs per capita (\$) 1,757 1,791 1,669	Net transfers and other adjustments (\$000s)		(4,764)	(5,498)	(5,026)
Operating result three-year average % 1.1 0.6 (0.1) Enrollment 11,573 11,645 11,695 Reserves and liquidity 18.2 17.2 19.0 Available reserves (\$000s) 36,063 33,615 33,239 Debt and liabilities 5.9 8.2 8.2 Net direct debt per capita (\$) 4,824 2,335 2,446 1,870 Net direct debt (\$000s) 382,281 185,024 190,100 142,800 Direct debt 10-year amortization (%) 26 50 Pension and OPEB cost % of revenues 6.0 7.0 7.0 NPLs per capita (\$) 1,757 1,791 1,669	Operating result (\$000s)		4,397	1,801	351
Enrollment 11,573 11,645 11,695 Reserves and liquidity Available reserves % of operating revenues 18.2 17.2 19.0 Available reserves (\$000s) 36,063 33,615 33,239 Debt and liabilities Debt service cost % of revenues 5.9 8.2 8.2 Net direct debt per capita (\$) 4,824 2,335 2,446 1,870 Net direct debt (\$000s) 382,281 185,024 190,100 142,800 Direct debt 10-year amortization (%) 26 50 Pension and OPEB cost % of revenues 6.0 7.0 7.0 NPLs per capita (\$) 1,757 1,791 1,669	Operating result % of revenues		2.2	0.9	0.2
Reserves and liquidity Available reserves % of operating revenues 18.2 17.2 19.0 Available reserves (\$000s) 36,063 33,615 33,239 Debt and liabilities Debt service cost % of revenues 5.9 8.2 8.2 Net direct debt per capita (\$) 4,824 2,335 2,446 1,870 Net direct debt (\$000s) 382,281 185,024 190,100 142,800 Direct debt 10-year amortization (%) 26 50 Pension and OPEB cost % of revenues 6.0 7.0 7.0 NPLs per capita (\$) 1,757 1,791 1,669	Operating result three-year average %		1.1	0.6	(0.1)
Available reserves % of operating revenues 18.2 17.2 19.0 Available reserves (\$000s) 36,063 33,615 33,239 Debt and liabilities Debt service cost % of revenues 5.9 8.2 8.2 Net direct debt per capita (\$) 4,824 2,335 2,446 1,870 Net direct debt (\$000s) 382,281 185,024 190,100 142,800 Direct debt 10-year amortization (%) 26 50 Pension and OPEB cost % of revenues 6.0 7.0 7.0 NPLs per capita (\$) 1,757 1,791 1,669	Enrollment		11,573	11,645	11,695
Available reserves (\$000s) 36,063 33,615 33,239 Debt and liabilities Debt service cost % of revenues 5.9 8.2 8.2 Net direct debt per capita (\$) 4,824 2,335 2,446 1,870 Net direct debt (\$000s) 382,281 185,024 190,100 142,800 Direct debt 10-year amortization (%) 26 50 Pension and OPEB cost % of revenues 6.0 7.0 7.0 NPLs per capita (\$) 1,757 1,791 1,669	Reserves and liquidity				
Debt and liabilities Debt service cost % of revenues 5.9 8.2 8.2 Net direct debt per capita (\$) 4,824 2,335 2,446 1,870 Net direct debt (\$000s) 382,281 185,024 190,100 142,800 Direct debt 10-year amortization (%) 26 50 Pension and OPEB cost % of revenues 6.0 7.0 7.0 NPLs per capita (\$) 1,757 1,791 1,669	Available reserves % of operating revenues		18.2	17.2	19.0
Debt service cost % of revenues 5.9 8.2 8.2 Net direct debt per capita (\$) 4,824 2,335 2,446 1,870 Net direct debt (\$000s) 382,281 185,024 190,100 142,800 Direct debt 10-year amortization (%) 26 50 Pension and OPEB cost % of revenues 6.0 7.0 7.0 NPLs per capita (\$) 1,757 1,791 1,669	Available reserves (\$000s)		36,063	33,615	33,239
Net direct debt per capita (\$) 4,824 2,335 2,446 1,870 Net direct debt (\$000s) 382,281 185,024 190,100 142,800 Direct debt 10-year amortization (%) 26 50 Pension and OPEB cost % of revenues 6.0 7.0 7.0 NPLs per capita (\$) 1,757 1,791 1,669	Debt and liabilities				
Net direct debt (\$000s) 382,281 185,024 190,100 142,800 Direct debt 10-year amortization (%) 26 50 Pension and OPEB cost % of revenues 6.0 7.0 7.0 NPLs per capita (\$) 1,757 1,791 1,669	Debt service cost % of revenues		5.9	8.2	8.2
Direct debt 10-year amortization (%) 26 50 Pension and OPEB cost % of revenues 6.0 7.0 7.0 NPLs per capita (\$) 1,757 1,791 1,669	Net direct debt per capita (\$)	4,824	2,335	2,446	1,870
Pension and OPEB cost % of revenues 6.0 7.0 7.0 NPLs per capita (\$) 1,757 1,791 1,669	Net direct debt (\$000s)	382,281	185,024	190,100	142,800
NPLs per capita (\$) 1,757 1,791 1,669	Direct debt 10-year amortization (%)	26	50		
	Pension and OPEB cost % of revenues		6.0	7.0	7.0
Combined NPLs (\$000s) 139,211 139,211 127,427	NPLs per capita (\$)		1,757	1,791	1,669
	Combined NPLs (\$000s)		139,211	139,211	127,427

Financial data may reflect analytical adjustments and are sourced from issuer audit reports or other annual disclosures. Economic data is generally sourced from S&P Global Market Intelligence, the Bureau of Labor Statistics, Claritas, and issuer audits and other disclosures. Local population is sourced from Claritas. Claritas estimates are point in time and not meant to show year-over-year trends. GCP--Gross county product. PCPI--Per capita personal income. EBI--Effective buying income. OPEB--Other postemployment benefits. NPLs--Net pension liabilities.

Ratings List

New Issue Ratings			
US\$128.0 mil GO bnds ser 2025 due 03/01/2045			
Long Term Rating	AA+/Stable		
Underlying Rating for Credit Program	AA-/Stable		
Downgraded			
	То	From	
Local Government			
Park Hill Sch Dist of Platte Cnty, MO Unlimited Tax General Obligation	AA-/Stable	AA/Stable	
Ratings Affirmed			
Local Government			
Park Hill Sch Dist of Platte Cnty, MO Direct Deposit State Aid Program	AA+/Stable		

The ratings appearing below the new issues represent an aggregation of debt issues (ASID) associated with related maturities. The maturities similarly reflect our opinion about the creditworthiness of the U.S. Public Finance obligor's legal pledge for payment of the financial obligation. Nevertheless, these maturities may have different credit ratings than the rating presented next to the ASID depending on whether or not additional legal pledge(s) support the specific maturity's payment obligation, such as credit enhancement, as a result of defeasance, or other factors.

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at https://disclosure.spglobal.com/ratings/en/regulatory/ratings-criteria for further information. A description of each of S&P Global Ratings' rating categories is a context of the supplied of the suppliedcontained in "S&P Global Ratings Definitions" at https://disclosure.spglobal.com/ratings/en/regulatory/article/-/view/sourceld/504352. Complete ratings information is available to RatingsDirect subscribers at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings referenced herein can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.spglobal.com/ratings.

Copyright © 2025 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Some of the Content may have been created with the assistance of an artificial intelligence (AI) tool. Published Content created or processed using AI is composed, reviewed, edited, and approved by S&P personnel.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.spglobal.com/ratings (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.spglobal.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.