

Research Update:

Durant Community School District, IA's Series 2025 GO School Bonds Assigned 'A+' Rating

September 8, 2025

Overview

- S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'A+' long-term rating to Durant Community School District (CSD), Iowa's \$4.4 million series 2025 general obligation (GO) school bonds.
- At the same time, S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'A+' long-term rating on the CSD's previously issued GO debt.
- The outlook is stable.

Rationale

Security

Revenue from the district's unlimited ad valorem tax secures the bonds. Bond proceeds will be used for facility improvements.

Credit highlights

Durant CSD is a smaller district, in terms of tax base and enrollment, situated near the Quad Cities (Bettendorf and Davenport, Iowa; and East Moline, Moline, and Rock Island, Ill.). The demand for small classes has been robust in the region and has led to a significant number of families' using open enrollment to attend Durant CSD. This has resulted in enrollment exceeding budget expectations and, in turn, larger-than-anticipated state aid collections. The favorable revenue has fueled strong balanced operating results in the face of inflationary and special education cost pressures. The CSD has adopted a minor deficit in the fiscal 2026 budget. Officials report that this budget is conservative and expect the CSD will end the year with another balanced general fund result. Given enrollment trends, we expect 2026 will end in line with these expectations. Officials report that unaudited results for fiscal 2025 indicate a balanced general fund result as open enrollment met expectations. With the use of five-year financial forecasting, we believe the CSD will continue to generate balanced results. However, we expect no material

Primary Contact

Joseph Vodziak

Chicago 1-312-233-7094 joseph.vodziak @spglobal.com

Secondary Contact

Mike Lensky

Englewood 1-303-721-4138 mike.lensky @spglobal.com

Durant Community School District, IA's Series 2025 GO School Bonds Assigned 'A+' Rating

increases in reserves, as the CSD aims to maintain a fund balance that provides it with the authority to utilize relatively small cash reserve levies in most years.

The district spans three counties with approximately 50% of the tax base in Cedar and the other 50% split between Muscatine and Scott. Key economic metrics across these three counties differ somewhat, with gross county product (GCP) per capita as a percentage of the U.S. being lower in Cedar and per capita personal income being lower in Muscatine. The starting point for our economic assessment reflects Cedar County. We acknowledge that these scores may be slightly understated given Cedar County's lower GCP, but the three counties have a somewhat elevated concentration of GCP in the agricultural industry, which we've determined is more volatile, supporting our decision to not make any adjustments in our assessment.

The rating further reflects our view of the CSD's:

- Slightly below-average regional GCP per capita and per capita personal income metrics. While residents have access to the Quad Cities for work, we believe economic metrics are not reflective of this and more reflective of the local concentration in the agricultural industry, which we deem volatile. Local population trends are stagnant, and officials report that the local economy is stable. We expect key and supporting economic metrics to remain at levels that support the assessment through the near term.
- Stable operating results in recent years stemming from open enrollment exceeding expectations and thus bringing in more revenue to offset inflationary and special education cost pressures. Management expects balanced operating results to continue through fiscal 2026 and, based on budgetary practices and enrollment trends, we view these expectations as realistic.
- · Healthy reserves as a percentage of budget. However, the budget is small and therefore the fund balance is small on a nominal basis, which we believe will result in more drastic fluctuations in reserves as a percentage of budget should unexpected spending needs arise. With the district aiming to maintain authority to utilize its cash reserve levy, we expect no material increases in reserves.
- Robust budgetary practices reflected in operating results that are far more stable than those of peers in the state, use of long-term financial planning tools that support budgetary practices, policies that align with statutory limitations and Iowa Assn. of School Boards recommendations, and cybersecurity practices that align with those of peers.
- Somewhat elevated debt burden on a per capita basis. However, voters approved this debt, and we expect that, with the associated cost increases and corresponding levies, it will remain manageable. The pension liability burden is also manageable. The CSD makes required contributions to a well-funded state pension system, and we expect no material cost pressures to arise from it. With no additional debt plans, we expect the debt and liability profile will remain stable.
- Institutional framework aligning with that of all lowa school districts. For more information see "Institutional Framework Assessment: Iowa Local Governments," Sept. 9, 2024.

Environmental, social, and governance

We have analyzed environmental, social, and governance factors and view them as neutral in our credit rating analysis.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our view that operating result trends will persist into fiscal years 2025 and 2026, resulting in relatively stable reserves' supporting overall credit stability over the twoyear outlook period.

Downside scenario

We could take a negative rating action if a budgetary gap develops that is not quickly remediated or if a large draw on reserves occurs without a credible plan for quick restoration.

Upside scenario

We could raise the rating if economic growth were to occur, pushing income and GCP metrics to levels more commensurate with those of higher-rated peers.

Durant Community School District, Iowa--credit summary

Institutional framework (IF)	2
Individual credit profile (ICP)	2.48
Economy	4.0
Financial performance	2
Reserves and liquidity	2
Management	1.65
Debt and liabilities	2.75

Durant Community School District, Iowa--Key credit metrics

	Most recent	2024	2023	2022
Economy				
Real GCP per capita as % of U.S.	52		52	55
County PCPI as % of U.S.	96		96	104
Market value (\$000s)	501,727	408,773	399,776	389,372
Market value per capita (\$)	163,802	133,455	130,135	126,337
Top 10 taxpayers as % of taxable value	15.8	15.4		
County unemployment rate (%)	3.2	3.0	3.0	2.9
Local median household EBI as % of U.S.	110	110	113	105
Local per capita EBI as % of U.S.	100	100	102	96
Local population	3,063	3,063	3,072	3,082
Financial performance				
Operating fund revenue (\$000s)		9,020	8,451	8,098
Operating fund expenditures (\$000s)		9,056	8,447	8,094
Net transfers and other adjustments (\$000s)		23	30	12
Operating result (\$000s)		(13)	34	16
Operating result as % of revenue		(0.1)	0.4	0.2
Operating result three-year average %		0.2	1.0	2.2
Enrollment		631	628	562

Durant Community School District, Iowa--Key credit metrics

Most recent	2024	2023	2022
	17.4	20.1	20.9
	1,571	1,697	1,696
	1.0		1.1
4,461	3,316		
13,664	10,156	1	
53	74		
	5	5	5
	693	857	717
	2,124	2,632	2,209
	 4,461 13,664	17.4 1,571 1.0 4,461 3,316 13,664 10,156 53 74 5 693	17.4 20.1 1,571 1,697 1.0 4,461 3,316 13,664 10,156 1 53 74 5 5 693 857

Financial data may reflect analytical adjustments and is sourced from issuer audit reports or other annual disclosures. Economic data is generally sourced from S&P Global Market Intelligence, the Bureau of Labor Statistics, Claritas, and issuer audits and other disclosures. Local population is sourced from Claritas. Claritas estimates are point in time and not meant to show year-over-year trends. EBI--Effective buying income. GCP--Gross county product. NPL--Net pension liability. OPEB--Other postemployment benefits. PCPI--Per capita personal income.

Ratings List

New Issue Ratings	
US\$4.35 mil GO sch bnds ser 2025 due 06/01/2044	
Ratings Affirmed	
Local Government	
Durant Comnty Sch Dist, IA Unlimited Tax General Obligation	A+/Stable

The ratings appearing below the new issues represent an aggregation of debt issues (ASID) associated with related maturities. The maturities similarly reflect our opinion about the creditworthiness of the U.S. Public Finance obligor's legal pledge for payment of the financial obligation. Nevertheless, these maturities may have different credit ratings than the rating presented next to the ASID depending on whether or not additional legal pledge(s) support the specific maturity's payment obligation, such as credit enhancement, as a result of defeasance, or other factors.

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should thereforebe readin conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at https://disclosure.spglobal.com/ratings/en/regulatory/ratings-criteria for further information. A description of each of S&P Global Ratings' rating categoriesis contained in "S&P Global Ratings Definitions" at https://disclosure.spglobal.com/ratings/en/regulatory/article/-/view/sourceId/504352. Complete ratings information is available to RatingsDirect subscribers at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings referenced herein canbe foundon S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.spglobal.com/ratings.



Copyright © 2025 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Some of the Content may have been created with the assistance of an artificial intelligence (AI) tool. Published Content created or processed using AI is composed, reviewed, edited, and approved by S&P personnel.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.spglobal.com/ratings (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.spglobal.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.