

**Research Update:**

# Sherman, CT Series 2026 GO Bonds Assigned 'AA+' Rating

**July 30, 2026**

## Overview

- S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'AA+' long-term rating to [Sherman](#), Connecticut's \$19.525 million series 2026 general obligation (GO) bonds.
- At the same time, S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'AA+' rating on the town's GO debt outstanding.
- The outlook is stable.

## Rationale

### Security

The town's full-faith-and-credit pledge secures the GO bonds.

Series 2026 bonds will finance school renovations authorized by town voters.

### Credit highlights

The rating reflects Sherman's affluent residential tax base, characterized by income metrics that exceed both Fairfield County and national averages \, and maintenance of positive financial performance leading to reserve levels that are stronger relative to other Connecticut municipalities. Additionally, the rating is bolstered by the town's disciplined management practices and low debt profile, which, despite increasing with this issuance, is expected to remain manageable. These advantages are somewhat tempered by a smaller population and reserves that are nominal compared with higher-rated peers, although strong on a percentage basis.

Sherman continues to record positive operating results, and management expects to add to its fund balance in fiscal 2026, as a result of greater-than-expected revenue growth and effective expense controls. Management annually transfers approximately \$500,000 to its capital and nonrecurring fund for ongoing capital needs, a practice that we expect will continue over the near term and reduces the reliance on future debt issuances. Though the fiscal 2027 budget contains

### Primary Contact

**Dylan Lindow**  
Chicago  
1-6175308033  
dylan.lindow  
@spglobal.com

### Secondary Contact

**Lauren Freire**  
New York  
+ 1 (212) 438 7854  
lauren.freire  
@spglobal.com

a 9.4% increase from the year prior in light of higher debt service costs, we view it as achievable, and expect future operating results to be consistent with recent performance.

The rating reflects our view of the following:

- The town's small but stable economic base is located in wealthy Fairfield County. The town's local incomes are stronger than those of both the county and national peers, further supporting our opinion. Its proximity to larger cities like New York and Danbury provides residents with access to broader employment opportunities.
- We expect operations will remain at least balanced in fiscal 2026 and beyond, backed by steady property tax revenue (90% of operating revenue) and reserves on a percentage base that are higher than similarly rated peers.
- Financial management practices support stable operations, though some features such as long-term financial planning and policies for debt management are lacking. The town uses conservative assumptions when developing the budget, including using five-year historical trend analysis and projections for property values and economic activity for local receipts. It also works closely with the board of education when developing the budget to arrive at its anticipated education costs. The town makes monthly reports to the board of selectman on budget-to-actuals. It also has a formal five-year capital improvement plan. A formal reserve policy exists, which limits assigned fund balance to no less than 7% of budget, to which the town has historically adhered, and it also maintains a formal investment policy that mirrors state guidelines. However, there is no formal debt management policy outside of the legal debt limit per state statute. The town also adheres to various cybersecurity protections and plans.
- Fixed costs are manageable and debt per capita is high because of the town's small population. We expect the debt profile to remain relatively stable as there are no identified debt plans.
- The town maintains a locally defined-benefit plan for pensions. As of June 30, 2025, the plan was fully funded with a net pension asset of \$287,000. It has a discount rate of 6% and was closed to new entrants as of June 30, 2005. The town does not offer other postemployment benefits.
- The town's exposure to natural hazards is low, including flooding and extreme weather, compared with national peers, and therefore not a material weakness our credit analysis.
- For more information on our institutional framework assessment for Connecticut municipalities, see "[Institutional Framework Assessment: Connecticut Local Governments](#)," Sept. 9, 2024.

## Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our expectation that the town will continue to develop budgets using generally conservative assumptions while monitoring performance and making adjustments as necessary to maintain financial balance and strong reserves.

### Downside scenario

We could lower the rating if the town's budgetary performance were to weaken, leading to a material reserve drawdown.

## Upside scenario

We could raise the rating if the town adopts more robust management policies and practices or if the town's reserve balances and debt per capita improve to and are sustained at levels consistent with a higher rating, offsetting our view of its smaller population and nominal reserves.

### Sherman, Connecticut--credit summary

|                                 |      |
|---------------------------------|------|
| Institutional framework (IF)    | 1    |
| Individual credit profile (ICP) | 1.72 |
| Economy                         | 1.0  |
| Financial performance           | 2    |
| Reserves and liquidity          | 1    |
| Management                      | 2.35 |
| Debt and liabilities            | 2.25 |

### Sherman, Connecticut--key credit metrics

|                                              | Most recent | 2025      | 2024      | 2023      |
|----------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| <b>Economy</b>                               |             |           |           |           |
| Real GCP per capita % of U.S.                | --          | --        | 142       | 145       |
| County PCPI % of U.S.                        | --          | --        | 200       | 200       |
| Market value (\$000s)                        | --          | 1,239,904 | 1,091,071 | 1,028,537 |
| Market value per capita (\$)                 | --          | 351,347   | 309,173   | 291,701   |
| Top 10 taxpayers % of taxable value          | --          | 4.5       | 4.3       | 4.8       |
| County unemployment rate (%)                 | --          | 3.5       | 2.9       | 2.8       |
| Local median household EBI % of U.S.         | --          | --        | 145       | 160       |
| Local per capita EBI % of U.S.               | --          | --        | 196       | 202       |
| Local population                             | --          | --        | 3,529     | 3,526     |
| <b>Financial performance</b>                 |             |           |           |           |
| Operating fund revenues (\$000s)             | --          | 17,134    | 16,545    | 16,592    |
| Operating fund expenditures (\$000s)         | --          | 16,079    | 16,045    | 15,676    |
| Net transfers and other adjustments (\$000s) | --          | (545)     | (516)     | (538)     |
| Operating result (\$000s)                    | --          | 510       | (16)      | 378       |
| Operating result % of revenues               | --          | 3.0       | (0.1)     | 2.3       |
| Operating result three-year average %        | --          | 1.7       | 1.7       | 2.3       |
| <b>Reserves and liquidity</b>                |             |           |           |           |
| Available reserves % of operating revenues   | --          | 32.9      | 33.7      | 33.7      |
| Available reserves (\$000s)                  | --          | 5,643     | 5,578     | 5,597     |
| <b>Debt and liabilities</b>                  |             |           |           |           |
| Debt service cost % of revenues              | --          | 2.4       | 2.6       | 3.3       |
| Net direct debt per capita (\$)              | 11,033      | 560       | 676       | 793       |
| Net direct debt (\$000s)                     | 38,935      | 1,975     | 2,385     | 2,795     |
| Direct debt 10-year amortization (%)         | 35          | 100       | 100       | 100       |
| Pension and OPEB cost % of revenues          | --          | --        | --        | --        |
| NPLs per capita (\$)                         | --          | --        | --        | --        |

Sherman, Connecticut--key credit metrics

|                        | Most recent | 2025 | 2024 | 2023 |
|------------------------|-------------|------|------|------|
| Combined NPLs (\$000s) | --          | --   | --   | --   |

Financial data may reflect analytical adjustments and are sourced from issuer audit reports or other annual disclosures. Economic data is generally sourced from S&P Global Market Intelligence, the Bureau of Labor Statistics, Claritas, and issuer audits and other disclosures. Local population is sourced from Claritas. Claritas estimates are point in time and not meant to show year-over-year trends. GCP--Gross county product. PCPI--Per capita personal income. EBI--Effective buying income. OPEB--Other postemployment benefits. NPLs--Net pension liabilities.

Ratings List

| New Issue Ratings                              |            |
|------------------------------------------------|------------|
| US\$19.525 mil GO bnds ser 2026 due 05/15/2051 |            |
| Long Term Rating                               | AA+/Stable |
| Ratings Affirmed                               |            |
| Local Government                               |            |
| Sherman, CT Unlimited Tax General Obligation   | AA+/Stable |

The ratings appearing below the new issues represent an aggregation of debt issues (ASID) associated with related maturities. The maturities similarly reflect our opinion about the creditworthiness of the U.S. Public Finance obligor's legal pledge for payment of the financial obligation. Nevertheless, these maturities may have different credit ratings than the rating presented next to the ASID depending on whether or not additional legal pledge(s) support the specific maturity's payment obligation, such as credit enhancement, as a result of defeasance, or other factors.

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at <https://disclosure.spglobal.com/ratings/en/regulatory/ratings-criteria> for further information. A description of each of S&P Global Ratings' rating categories is contained in "S&P Global Ratings Definitions" at <https://disclosure.spglobal.com/ratings/en/regulatory/article/-/view/sourceId/504352>. Complete ratings information is available to RatingsDirect subscribers at [www.capitaliq.com](http://www.capitaliq.com). All ratings referenced herein can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at [www.spglobal.com/ratings](http://www.spglobal.com/ratings).

Copyright © 2026 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Some of the Content may have been created with the assistance of an artificial intelligence (AI) tool. Published Content created or processed using AI is composed, reviewed, edited, and approved by S&P personnel.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, [www.spglobal.com/ratings](http://www.spglobal.com/ratings) (free of charge), and [www.ratingsdirect.com](http://www.ratingsdirect.com) (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at [www.spglobal.com/usratingsfees](http://www.spglobal.com/usratingsfees).

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.